

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/887,661 | | 08/03/2001 | Pramod K. Arora | 495263010035 | 8348 | |
| 24325 | 7590 | 07/09/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| STEPHEN | | NLON | ROBERTSON, JEFFREY | | | |
| JONES DAY 901 LAKESIDE AVENUE | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CLEVELA | CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | | 1712 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004 | DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 055 | 09/887,661 | ARORA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jeffrey B. Robertson | 1712 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply | nication appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y will, by statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application is in condition | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2004. This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>137-139 and 142-255</u> is/ar 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>240</u> is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>137-139 and 142-145</u> is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>146-239 and 241-255</u> is/are 7) □ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restri | e withdrawn from consideration. re allowed. re rejected. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | 001 is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square obsection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies | or documents have been received. Or documents have been received in Article of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | <u>—</u> | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0304</u>. | PTO-948) Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claim 240 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: For claims 137, 138, 139, 142-239, and 241-255 are directed to compositions and products containing alkylsilsesquioxane polymers and solid state inert materials for use in vapor deposition. Newly presented claim 240 is directed to a dehydrated solid state alkylsilsesquioxane polymers. These inventions are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the alkylsilsesquioxane polymer of claim 240 has separate utility such as in emulsion or spray coating materials for dielectric materials.

Since applicant has already received an action on alkylsilsesquioxane polymers and inert binders, specific to new claims 137, 138, 139, 142-239, and 241-255 have been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 240 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Double Patenting

2. Applicant is advised that should claims 179-191 be found allowable, claims 208-220 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. Application/Control Number: 09/887,661

Art Unit: 1712

When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

In addition, claims 176, 184, and 213 all appear to set forth the same limitations where a product that is a compressed mixture of alkylsilsesquioxane and an inert material is claimed.

Claims 155, 160, 161, 162, 156, 157, 158, 159, and 163, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175 also appear to be substantial duplicates. The only difference in the claims is that claim 155 and the claims dependent therefrom are directed to a composition where as claim 163 and the claims dependent therefrom are directed to products. The distinction between these two sets of claims is not apparent because the actual limitations of the claims are the same.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The Singh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,219,654) patent was crossed out on the information disclosure statement because it has been previously cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 154,162, 171,187, 200, 216, 230, and 251 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,661

Art Unit: 1712

The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There does not appear to be support for the term "partially polymerized" as set forth in the above mentioned claims.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 146-239 and 241-255 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For claim 146, there is a lack of antecedent basis in the term "the non-polar R". R has not been previously defined as being non-polar.

Claims 147, 155, 163, 179, 192, 208, 221, 238, 239, and 241, are incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the claims do not characterize the alkylsilsesquioxane polymer as being in the solid state. Throughout the specification applicant refers to the film-forming polymer as being in the solid state. Applicant does not describe situations where the film-forming polymer is in any other state besides the solid state when present with the inert material. Therefore, this language should appear in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,661

Art Unit: 1712

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 137-139, 142, 143, 144, and 145 are allowed.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey B. Robertson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1092. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-3:00.

Art Unit: 1712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey B. Robertson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

JBR